



## **Advisory Group Meeting**

December 11, 2008

Recap of 12-10 Public Workshop

## Table Reports from 12-10-08 Public Workshop

### Likes

- More transparent; easier to understand (3)
- ADU as an overlay (2)
- Lot line house option
- Administrative Adjustments
- Lot size reduction
- Small lot detached house provisions
- New density calculation measures
- 35 foot height change
- Conservation development
- Rattlesnake Overlay
- PUDs
- Elimination of CLB overlay

### Dislikes

- Lack of graphics
- ADU overlay; too much like a PNC.
- Removal of ADU section (3)
- Lot line housing
- Administrative adjustment process (2)
- Need more staff decision-making
- Small lots
- 35-foot height
- Parking standards too high (3)
- Elimination of PNC
- Need more conservation dev't options
- Lack of commercial uses in R districts
- Lack of residential design standards
- Lack of scale and mass controls
- Lack of incentives for multi-dwelling
- Lack of flexibility in height regulations
- Townhouse regulations (too strict)
- Bed and breakfast in all R districts

# Miscellaneous comments

- Seems like a rezoning
- Higher density will raise the crime rate
- How are we going to measure height on the hillsides?
- Signs will be controversial
- Watch out because of PNCs
- Who gets to protest a development in a multi-plex building?
- How is an overlay initialed and by whom?
- Confusion over bedroom calculations.
- Question regarding the height measurement vs. hillside

